

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/719,209 | 11/21/2003 | Steven D. Theiss | 57389US004 | 1961 |
| 32692 | 7590 05/04/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY | | | GEYER, SCOTT B | |
| PO BOX 3342 | 27 | | | |
| ST PAHI MN 55133-3427 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/719,209 | THEISS ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Scott B. Geyer | 2829 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the state of the state of the months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 1 November 2003. | | |
| / · | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allo closed. | wance except for formal matters | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and application Papers. | drawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | | Ab a Francisco | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor | rrection is required if the drawing(s) | is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. nents have been received in Appopriority documents have been received in Re | lication No ceived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) ☐ Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention - - the applicant is required to elect <u>one</u> from <u>each</u> of the following lettered groups for prosecution on the merits:

A. Semiconductor device article

- Semiconductor device article having only one semiconductor feature
 (depicted for example by figure 1) and also having a permanently affixed shadow mask.
- Semiconductor device article having only one semiconductor feature (depicted for example by figure 1) and having a removable shadow mask.
- 3. Semiconductor device article having two semiconductor features, such as a CMOS structure (as depicted by figure 3b) and also having a permanently affixed shadow mask.
- 4. Semiconductor device article having two semiconductor features, such as a CMOS structure (as depicted by figure 3b) and having a removable shadow mask.

B. Semiconductor material

- 1. Semiconductor material is inorganic (e.g. silicon, cadmium sulfide).
- 2. Semiconductor material is organic (e.g. anthracene, naphthalene).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,209

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added (emphasis added). An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/719,209 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. E-mail: scott.geyer@uspto.gov

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electropic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ut 8. By 4/29/04

SBG

April 29, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800